

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,182	07/25/2002	Colin David Sillence	2101/50765	2101/50765 4690	
23911	7590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
CROWELL & MORING LLP			NGUYEN, DANNY		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20044-4300		2836		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/089,182	SILLENCE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Danny Nguyen	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17,19-21 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 22-26 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		. ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/089,182

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains improper language "means". Correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 1, the phrase "the surface" should be read "a surface". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17, 19-21, 27, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traux (USPN 4,323,946) in view of Eybert-Berard et al (USPN 6,072,684), and further in view of Loncaric (USPN 4,224,655). Traux discloses a source of electrically conducting fluid (e.g. col. 3, lines 30-35), a delivery means (such as col. 7, lines 45-60) for delivering the conducting fluid to a surface of a radome (such as radome 13) on which the protection is installed, a control means for controlling the delivery means (by sensor means, col. 6, 7, lines 45-18). Traux does not disclose an electrostatic field sensor as claimed. Ey-Berard discloses a lightning strike protection circuit (fig. 1) comprises a control circuit (12, 16, 26) an electrostatic field sensor (such

Application/Control Number: 10/089,182 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

as sensor 12) for detecting a change in surrounding atmospheric condition prior to the lightning strike occurrence (col. 4, lines 3-7), and a threshold detector and a switch (such as 26) for activating when the predetermined threshold level is exceeded (col. 5, lines 10-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the lightning strike protection circuit of Traux to corporate the control circuit which has the electrostatic field sensor, the threshold detector and the switch in order to protect the approach of lightning strike event (see abstract). However, the combination of Traux and Ey-Berard do not disclose a means for directing fluid and a conductive path as claimed. Loncaric teaches the fluid is directed to cover a surface and provide a conducting path for the excess charge to be dissipated (e.g. col. 2, lines 20-43). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the protection circuit of Traux and Ey-Berard to corporate the device directs the fluid and the path as taught by Loncaric in order to reduce the danger of the electrostatic charges (col. 4, lines 20-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 18, 22-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/089,182

Art Unit: 2836

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-2054. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN

DN 7/8/2004 BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT TAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENT ... 2800

Page 4